

Yankee Track Stars Take Two Finals and All Around Expert Gets Second Place in Antwerp Stadium

STRAIGHT SETS RULE AT LONGWOOD

Tilden and Garland Too Strong for Seaver and Putnam in National Doubles.

By SAMUEL J. BROOKMAN.

Special to THE SUN and NEW YORK HERALD.
BOSTON, Mass., Aug. 16.—Contending teams came through without much trouble in the opening round of the national doubles tennis championship on the Longwood Cricket Club courts today, and it was just as well that they were not extended, for the weather was unpropitiously hot and spectators as well as players of considerable energy.

There was only one prominent team that had to go more than three sets to win and that was the latest Pacific coast combination, William E. Davis and Roland E. Roberts. They dropped one set to the veterans, William J. Ciochet and Beals Wright, but the energy expended in prolonging the match left Wright very weak in the fourth set, and the Californians ended it quickly. What was most staggering throughout the last set and could scarcely have gone any further.

Among the teams that took their part in straight sets were H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, the Newport winners; S. Howard Vothell and Frederick B. Alexander of New York; Nathaniel W. Niles and Alfred S. Barney of Boston; Wallace F. Johnson and Stanley W. Pearson of Philadelphia and William T. Tilden, 2d, and Charles S. Garland of the Davis cup team.

Tilden made his first appearance on an American court since his triumphant conquest in England. A fair sized crowd gathered principally to see him in action. R. C. Seaver and G. T. Putnam of Boston, who opposed Tilden and Garland, were not strong enough to win the Davis cup players at any stage, and Tilden had all the opportunity he could have asked to display his varied assortment of fancy strokes, much to the delight of the gallery.

Easy for Tilden and Garland.

Whatever Tilden attempted, whether it was drive, volley or overhead smash, he performed with surprising precision. Although to the average spectator his manner looked decidedly careless, his service was booming across with lightning speed, and he won all his points without making a single effort to win them. Garland also came up frequently for a volleying attack, but in the main he remained back and attended to his defense. He was his usual stolid self. The rallies throughout the match were comparatively short, principally because Tilden's striking at the net was too decisive to permit of many returns.

The second set, 6-4, 4-1.

For two sets the match between Davis and Roberts and Wright and Ciochet furnished the best tennis of the day. The veterans were playing craftily and drawing the Californians out of position. Despite the stinging service and the all round speed of Davis and Roberts, Wright and Ciochet kept the rallies going and held them off. A little extra set was played in the third set, and the Californians won it both ends, 6-4, but their margin was slighter for the points ran to deuce. The victors called strongly in the third set and gained a long lead, but under the baking sun Wright weakened fast, and it was lucky for his side that Roberts ran into a streak of poor hitting, during which he was numerous overhead smashes. Roberts' erratic striking lasted long enough to permit Ciochet and Wright to take the set at 6-3.

In the period of respite Wright came back with a show of renewed energy, but it did not last long. Roberts and Davis began directly most of their attack his way and the former inter-venient could not keep up with the ball.

Williams and Harte disposed of H. H. Bundy and R. Dill of Longwood with the loss of only five games in three sets. Wallace F. Johnson and Stanley W. Pearson, the Middle States champions, proved too skillful for Marshall Allen and W. Burrell, a youthful pair who won the Pacific Northwest title. The youngsters had plenty of sweat, but were outgassed most of the way. In the second division of play they gave promise of forcing the match extra sets, for they led 1-0 in games, but Johnson's bothersome chop stroke coupled with Pearson's alertness at the net gave the latter four games in a row for the set.

The summaries:

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

W. E. Davis and R. E. Roberts, 2d, defeated H. Norris Williams, 2d, and Richard Harte, 6-4, 6-2, 6-2.

AMERICAN SETS WORLD'S MARK

Continued from First Page.

Padlock Makes Trouble.

To begin with Padlock was unsteady from the first, and he got on the nerves of the others, and they were all worked up. Murchison showing signs of being unbalanced. From the way Padlock acted he was out to get the best of the seven, and he was no eager that in one of his breaks he ran down half the stretch before he could pull up.

Then he went back to his mark, taking his time, while the others danced nervously about the starting line. After the runners finally got set the starter said something to Padlock, and Murchison, thinking it was an order to stand up, raised himself from his crouch just as the gun went off. The unbalanced position of the New York A. C. man threw him off and he lost fully two yards before he could gather himself to get going.

Where the starter erred vitally was in talking to the men after they had "set" and for that reason the race should have been declared void and rerun. Of course, according to the international rules, the starter has complete power over the start and could do as he liked, but in doing so he pleased this afternoon he displeased everybody but Padlock. A big majority were sorry for Murchison, who was the big tip for first medal, especially as it was remembered that he beat Padlock for the national championships in the United States last month.

Expect Campbell to Go Well.

The strongest showing made by any of the United States middle distance men was that of Tom Campbell of Yale University, who ran a second, 4:10, and finished like a real racer. Tonight the critics are expecting the Yalester to give the Springfield a useful lesson in the place of Earl Eby, who made a weak showing against A. G. Hill, the Englishman, in his heat in the afternoon. Still, Eby may come to life and make the present moment redeem himself as he did in the 400 meter race in the inter-allied games at Paris two years ago.

The Finns and the Americans sprang a surprise today in the Pentathlon.

Lehtonen, an unheralded son of the new republic, won from all comers. He not only won the gold medal emblematic of the championship, but secured the special place of honor of runner-up. The King of Sweden, which he can only hold until the next Olympics, four years hence.

Like Myra, who won the javelin, Lehtonen is a devotee of the javelin.

There were also sorts of surprise at the failure of Robert Le Gendre, but still more about the fact that Robert I. Bailey of the University of Kansas, the present Olympic champion, and undoubtedly will approve this and numerous other new records made since the 1914 meeting.

Padlock Takes "Hundred."

Charles W. Padlock of the Los Angeles A. C. won the final of the 100 meter event, with M. M. Kirksey of the Olympic Club, San Francisco, second, 1:17. Edward of England was third, 1:18. A. H. Scholz of the University of Missouri, fifth, and Loren Murchison of the New York A. C., sixth. The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

The time was 1:17.4 seconds.

Yankee Winners in Olympic Games



FRANK F. LOOMIS CHARLES W. PADLOCK

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO

INTERNATIONAL PHOTO